arXiv:1402.0843v1 [math.DG] 4 Feb 2014

MONOTONE QUANTITIES INVOLVING A WEIGHTED σ_k INTEGRAL ALONG INVERSE CURVATURE FLOWS

KWOK-KUN KWONG AND PENGZI MIAO[1]

Abstract. We give a family of monotone quantities along smooth solutions to the inverse curvature flows in Euclidean spaces. We also derive a related geometric inequality for closed hypersurfaces with positive k-th mean curvature.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to introduce some monotone quantities involving a weighted σ_k integral along inverse curvature flows in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$.

Given a smooth closed hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, let $\{\kappa_1, \cdots, \kappa_m\}$ be the principal curvatures of Σ. For any $1 \leq k \leq m$, define the k-th mean curvature H_k and the normalized k-th mean curvature σ_k of Σ by

$$H_k = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \ldots < i_k \leq m} \kappa_{i_1} \cdots \kappa_{i_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_k = \frac{H_k}{\binom{m}{k}}.$$

respectively (the notation σ_k here follows that of Reilly in [11, 13]). When $k = 0$, define $H_0 = \sigma_0 = 1$. A family of closed hypersurfaces $\{\Sigma_t\}_{t \in I}$, given by a smooth map

$$X : \Sigma \times I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$$

where I is an open interval and $\Sigma_t = X(\Sigma, t)$, is said to evolve according to an inverse curvature flow if

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = \frac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_k} \nu \tag{1}$$

for some $1 \leq k \leq m$. Here ν is the unit outward normal to Σ_t and σ_{k-1}, σ_k are computed on Σ_t.

Our main result is

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C44; 53A07.

Key words and phrases. σ_k integral, inverse curvature flow.

[1]Research partially supported by Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant for Mathematicians #281105.

Theorem 1. *Suppose $\{\Sigma_t\}_{t\in I}$ is a smooth solution to an inverse curvature flow (1) for some $2 \leq k \leq m$. Given any point $O \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, let r be the Euclidean distance to O. Then the function*

$$Q_k(\Sigma_t) = \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_{k-1} d\mu\right)^{-\frac{m-k}{m+1-k}} \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_k r^2 d\mu - \int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_{k-2} d\mu\right) \tag{2}$$

is monotone decreasing and $Q_k(t)$ is a constant function if and only if Σ_t is a round sphere for each t. Here $d\mu$ is the volume form on Σ_t.

Here are some remarks concerning Theorem 1.

Remark 1. The long time existence of smooth solutions to (1) was established by Gerhardt in [5] and by Urbas in [16] when the initial surface Σ is star-shaped with $\sigma_k > 0$. Moreover, they proved that the rescaled hypersurfaces $\{\widetilde{\Sigma_t}\}$, parametrized by $\widetilde{X}(\cdot, t) = e^{-t}X(\cdot, t)$, converge to a sphere in the C^∞ topology as $t \to \infty$.

Remark 2. Theorem 1 does not address the case $k = 1$, i.e. when the flow is the inverse mean curvature flow. In that case, if one defines $\sigma_{-1} = \langle Y, \nu\rangle$ where Y is a position vector field in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, it was proved in [10] that

$$Q_1(\Sigma_t) = |\Sigma_t|^{-\frac{m-1}{m}} \left[\frac{1}{m}\int_{\Sigma_t} Hr^2 d\mu - (m+1)\mathrm{Vol}(\Omega_t)\right]$$

is monotone decreasing along the inverse mean curvature flow $\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{H}\nu$. Here $|\Sigma_t|$ is the area of Σ_t, $\mathrm{Vol}(\Omega_t)$ is the volume of the region Ω_t enclosed by Σ_t and $H = \sum_{i=1}^m \kappa_i$ is the mean curvature of Σ_t. Results in [10] were motivated by the work of Brendle, Hung and Wang in [3].

In [6], Guan and Li proved that

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_{k-1} d\mu\right)^{-\frac{m-k}{m+1-k}} \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_k d\mu\right) \tag{3}$$

is monotone decreasing along the inverse curvature flow (1). Using this together with the result of Gerhardt and Urbas, Guan and Li derived the quermassintegral inequalities

$$\left(\frac{1}{\omega_m}\int_\Sigma \sigma_{k-1} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{m+1-k}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\omega_m}\int_\Sigma \sigma_k d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{m-k}}$$

for any star-shaped Σ with $\sigma_k > 0$. Here ω_m is the volume of the m-dimensional unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. Unlike the quantity in (3), $Q_k(\Sigma_t)$ in (2) is not scaling invariant (it has a unit of length square when scaled with respect to O). However, it is still interesting to know if $Q_k(\Sigma_t)$ always has a fixed sign. We answer this question in the next theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ be a smooth closed hypersurface. Suppose $\sigma_k > 0$ on Σ for some $1 \leq k \leq m$. Given any point $O \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, let r be the Euclidean distance to O. Then*

$$\int_\Sigma \sigma_l r^p \, d\mu \leq \int_\Sigma \sigma_k r^{p+k-l} d\mu \tag{4}$$

for any integer $0 \leq l < k$ and any real number $p \geq 0$. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if Σ is a round sphere centered at O.

Let $l = k-2$ and $p = 0$, (4) becomes

$$\int_\Sigma \sigma_{k-2} \, d\mu \leq \int_\Sigma \sigma_k r^2 d\mu.$$

Therefore, Theorem 2 implies that $Q_k(t)$ is always nonnegative along the inverse curvature flow (1).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basics facts about σ_k. In Section 3, we derive the monotonicity of $Q_k(\Sigma_t)$. In Section 4, we prove the inequality (4).

2. Notations and preliminaries

Given a smooth closed hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, let $\overline{\nabla}$ and ∇ denote the connections on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ and Σ respectively. Let ν be the outward unit normal to Σ. The shape operator of Σ with respect to ν is defined by

$$A(\cdot) = \overline{\nabla}_{(\cdot)}\nu : T\Sigma \to T\Sigma,$$

where $T\Sigma$ is the tangent bundle of Σ. Given any local frame $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ on Σ, define $\{A_i^j\}$ by $A(e_i) = A_i^j e_j$, where $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and Einstein summation convention is applied. Recall that $H_0 = \sigma_0 = 1$ and

$$H_k = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \ldots < i_k \leq m} \kappa_{i_1} \cdots \kappa_{i_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_k = \frac{H_k}{\binom{m}{k}}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq m$, where $\{\kappa_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are the eigenvalues of A. In terms of $\{A_i^j\}$, H_k can be computed by

$$H_k = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1, \cdots, i_k \leq m \\ 1 \leq j_1, \cdots, j_k \leq m}} \delta^{i_1 \cdots i_k}_{j_1 \cdots j_k} A^{j_1}_{i_1} \cdots A^{j_k}_{i_k}, \tag{5}$$

where $\delta^{i_1 \cdots i_k}_{j_1 \cdots j_k} = 0$ if $i_p = i_q$ or $j_p = j_q$ for some $p \neq q$, or if the two sets $\{i_1, \cdots, i_k\} \neq \{j_1, \cdots, j_k\}$; otherwise $\delta^{i_1 \cdots i_k}_{j_1 \cdots j_k}$ is defined as the sign of the permutation $(i_1, \cdots, i_k) \mapsto (j_1, \cdots, j_k)$. Because of (5), we define $H_k = 0$ for any $k > m$.

A basic tool in the study of H_k is the $(k-1)$-th Newton transformation $T_{k-1} : T\Sigma \to T\Sigma$ (cf. [11, 12]). If we write $T_{k-1}(e_j) = (T_{k-1})^i_j e_i$, then $\{(T_{k-1})^i_j\}$ are given by

$$(T_{k-1})^i_j = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{\substack{1\le i_1,\cdots,i_{k-1}\le m\\ 1\le j_1,\cdots,j_{k-1}\le m}} \delta^{ii_1\ldots i_{k-1}}_{jj_1\ldots j_{k-1}} A^{j_1}_{i_1}\cdots A^{j_{k-1}}_{i_{k-1}}.$$

A more geometric way to understand T_{k-1} is that if $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ consist of eigenvectors of A with $A(e_j) = \kappa_j e_j$, then $T_{k-1}(e_j) = \Lambda_j e_j$, where

$$\Lambda_j = \sum_{\substack{1\le i_1<\cdots<i_{k-1}\le m,\\ j\notin\{i_1,\cdots,i_{k-1}\}}} \kappa_{i_1}\cdots\kappa_{i_{k-1}}. \tag{6}$$

When $k = 1$, one defines $T_0 = \mathrm{Id}$, the identity map. It follows from (6) that

$$\mathrm{tr}(T_{k-1}) = [m-(k-1)]H_{k-1}, \tag{7}$$

$$\mathrm{tr}(T_{k-1}\circ A) = kH_k \tag{8}$$

and

$$\mathrm{tr}(T_{k-1}\circ A\circ A) = H_kH_1 - (k+1)H_{k+1}. \tag{9}$$

Another useful property of T_{k-1} is that it is divergence free (cf. [12]),

$$\mathrm{div}\, T_{k-1} = 0. \tag{10}$$

Here $\mathrm{tr}(\cdot)$ and $\mathrm{div}(\cdot)$ denote the trace and the divergence taken on Σ respectively.

Suppose $\{\Sigma_t\}$ is a family of evolving hypersurfaces given by a smooth map $X : \Sigma\times I \to \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ with

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = F\nu \tag{11}$$

where ν is the outward unit normal to $\Sigma_t = X(\Sigma, t)$ and F denotes the speed of the flow which may depend on X, the principal curvatures of Σ_t and time t. The following evolution equation of H_k is standard (see, e.g., [6, Proposition 4] or [11, Lemma A]),

$$\begin{aligned} H_k{}' &= \mathrm{tr}(T_{k-1}\circ A') \\ &= (T_{k-1})^i_j\left[-(\nabla^2 F)^j_i - F(A\circ A)^j_i\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Here " $'$ " denotes the derivative taken with respect to t.

Proposition 1. *Let f be an arbitrary function on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. Along the flow* (11)*,*

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma_t} H_l f d\mu\right)' = \int_{\Sigma_t} \{-(T_{l-1})^i_j(\overline{\nabla}^2 f)^j_i + (l+1)H_l\langle\overline{\nabla} f, \nu\rangle + (l+1)H_{l+1}f\}Fd\mu \tag{13}$$

for any $1 \le l \le m$.

Proof. Direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int_{\Sigma_t} H_l f d\mu\right)' &= \int_{\Sigma_k} \left(H_l' f + H_l\langle\overline{\nabla} f, F\nu\rangle + H_l f F H_1\right) d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_t} \left\{(T_{l-1})^i_j[-(\nabla^2 F)^j_i - F(A\circ A)^j_i]f \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + H_l F\langle\overline{\nabla} f, \nu\rangle + H_l H_1 F f\right\} d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_t} \left\{-(T_{l-1})^i_j(\nabla^2 f)^j_i + (l+1)H_{l+1}f \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + H_l\langle\overline{\nabla} f, \nu\rangle\right\} F d\mu\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where we used (12), (10) and (9).

Note that the two Hessians $(\overline{\nabla}^2 f)_{ij}$ and $(\nabla^2 f)_{ij}$ are related by

$$(\overline{\nabla}^2 f)_{ij} = (\nabla^2 f)_{ij} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} A_{ij}, \tag{15}$$

where $A_{ij} = g_{il}A^l_j$ is the second fundamental form of Σ_t and g denotes the induced metric on Σ_t.

Therefore, it follows from (14) and (15) that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int_{\Sigma_t} H_l f d\mu\right)' &= \int_{\Sigma_t} \{-(T_{l-1})^i_j[(\overline{\nabla}^2 f)^j_i - \langle\overline{\nabla} f, \nu\rangle A^j_i] \\ &\qquad + (l+1)H_{l+1}f + H_l\langle\overline{\nabla} f, \nu\rangle\}Fd\mu \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_t} \{-(T_{l-1})^i_j(\overline{\nabla}^2 f)^j_i + (l+1)H_l\langle\overline{\nabla} f, \nu\rangle \\ &\qquad + (l+1)H_{l+1}f\}Fd\mu,\end{aligned}$$

where we also used (8). □

We end this section by noting the following fact regarding $\sigma_k > 0$.

Lemma 1. *For a closed hypersurface Σ in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, the condition $\sigma_k > 0$ implies $\sigma_l > 0,\ \forall\ 1 \le l \le k$.*

This follows from the characterization of the Garding's cone

$$\Gamma_k^+ = \{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \sigma_l(\kappa) > 0,\ \forall\ 1 \leq l \leq k\}$$

as the connected component of the set $\{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \sigma_k(\kappa) > 0\}$ containing the point $(1, 1, \cdots, 1)$ (cf. [8, Proposition 2.6] or [2, Proposition 3.2]) and the fact that Σ always has a point at which $\kappa_i > 0$, $\forall\ 1 \leq i \leq m$.

3. Derivation of monotone quantities

Given any point $O \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, let r be the Euclidean distance to O. Consider the function $f = \frac{1}{2}r^2$, which satisfies

- $\overline{\nabla}^2 f = g$, where g is the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$
- $\overline{\nabla} f = Y$, where Y is the position vector starting from O.

With such a choice of f, it follows from Proposition 1, (7) and the definition $\sigma_l = H_l / \binom{m}{l}$ that

(16)
$$\left(\int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_l f d\mu\right)' = \int_{\Sigma_t} \left[-l\sigma_{l-1} + (l+1)\sigma_l \langle Y, \nu\rangle + (m-l)\sigma_{l+1} f\right] F d\mu$$

along the flow (11), $\forall\ 1 \leq l \leq m$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose the flow speed F in (11) is given by

$$F = \frac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_k}$$

for some $2 \leq k \leq m$. Then (16) gives

(17)
$$\left(\int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_l f d\mu\right)' = \int_{\Sigma_t} \left[-l\sigma_{l-1} + (l+1)\sigma_l \langle Y, \nu\rangle + (m-l)\sigma_{l+1} f\right] \frac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_k} d\mu.$$

Choose $l = k$ in (17), we have

(18)
$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_k f d\mu\right)' &= \int_{\Sigma_t} \left[-k\frac{\sigma_{k-1}^2}{\sigma_k} + (k+1)\sigma_{k-1}\langle Y, \nu\rangle + (m-k)\sigma_{k+1}\frac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_k} f\right] d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma_t} \left[-k\sigma_{k-2} + (k+1)\sigma_{k-2} + (m-k)\sigma_k f\right] d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_{k-2}\ d\mu + (m-k)\int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_k f d\mu,\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact $\sigma_k > 0$, the Newton inequality

$$\sigma_{i-1}\sigma_{i+1} \leq \sigma_i^2$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, and the Hsiung-Minkowski formula ([7])

$$\int_\Sigma \sigma_{j-1} d\mu = \int_\Sigma \sigma_j \langle Y, \nu \rangle d\mu$$

for any $1 \leq j \leq m$.

We need information on $\left(\int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_{k-2} d\mu\right)'$. Setting $F = \dfrac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_k}$ and $f = 1$ in Proposition 1 gives

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_l \, d\mu\right)' = (m-l) \int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_{l+1} \frac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_k} d\mu. \tag{19}$$

Let $l = k-2$ in (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_{k-2} \, d\mu\right)' &= [m-(k-2)] \int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_{k-1} \frac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_k} d\mu \\ &\geq [m-(k-2)] \int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_{k-2} \, d\mu \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where we again used the Newton inequality and the assumption $k \geq 2$.

Now it follows from (18) and (20) that

$$\left[\int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\sigma_k r^2 - \sigma_{k-2}\right) d\mu\right]' \leq (m-k) \left[\int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\sigma_k r^2 - \sigma_{k-2}\right) d\mu\right],$$

which then implies

$$\left[e^{-(m-k)t} \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\sigma_k r^2 - \sigma_{k-2}\right) d\mu \right]' \leq 0. \tag{21}$$

On the other hand, setting $l = k-1$ in (19) gives

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_{k-1} \, d\mu\right)' = [m-(k-1)] \int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_{k-1} d\mu. \tag{22}$$

By (21) and (22), we conclude that

$$\left[\left(\int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_{k-1} \, d\mu\right)^{-\frac{m-k}{m-(k-1)}} \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\sigma_k r^2 - \sigma_{k-2}\right) d\mu \right]' \leq 0.$$

If the derivative is zero at some time t_0, then

$$\kappa_1 = \cdots = \kappa_m$$

at $t = t_0$ by the equality case in the Newton inequality, which implies that Σ_{t_0} is a round sphere. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. $\square$

Remark 3. Let $l = k$ in (19) and apply the Newton inequality, one has

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_k \, d\mu\right)' \leq (m-k) \int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_k d\mu. \tag{23}$$

(23) and (22) imply the quantity in (3) is monotone decreasing, which is the monotonicity of Guan and Li ([6]).

Remark 4. In (20), if $k = 1$, we do not have a point-wise inequality of the form $\frac{1}{\sigma_1} = \frac{m}{H} \geq \sigma_{-1} = \langle Y, \nu \rangle$. In this case, an analogue of (20) in [10] was

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\Omega_t)' \geq (m+1)\mathrm{Vol}(\Omega_t)$$

which was derived using an inequality of Ros ([14])

$$m \int_\Sigma \frac{1}{H} d\mu \geq (m+1)\mathrm{Vol}(\Omega).$$

4. A related inequality

In this section, we prove Theorem 2. First, we need a generalized Hsiung-Minkowski formula (cf. [9, Theorem 2.1]). Similar formulas of this type can be found in [1, 4, 11, 15].

Proposition 2. *Let Σ be a smooth closed hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ and f be a smooth function on Σ. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Sigma f\sigma_l d\mu = & \int_\Sigma f\sigma_{l+1}\langle Y, \nu\rangle d\mu \\ & - \frac{1}{(m-l)\binom{m}{l}} \int_\Sigma \langle T_l(\nabla f), Y^\parallel\rangle d\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

for any $0 \leq l < m$. Here Y is a position vector field, $Y^\parallel$ denotes its tangential component on Σ, and ν is the unit outward normal to Σ.

Proof. Y being a position vector field implies

$$(\nabla Y^\parallel)_i^j = (\overline{\nabla} Y)_i^j - A_i^j \langle Y, \nu\rangle = \delta_i^j - A_i^j \langle Y, \nu\rangle. \tag{25}$$

Therefore, on Σ

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{div}(fT_l(Y^\parallel)) &= \langle \nabla f, T_l(Y^\parallel)\rangle + f(T_l)_j^i (\nabla Y^\parallel)_i^j \\ &= \langle T_l(\nabla f), Y^\parallel\rangle + f[\mathrm{tr}(T_l) - \mathrm{tr}(T_l \circ A)\langle Y, \nu\rangle], \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where we used (10), (25) and the fact T_l is self-adjoint. It follows from (7), (8) and (26) that

$$\mathrm{div}(fT_l(Y^\parallel)) = \langle T_l(\nabla f), Y^\parallel\rangle + f[(m-l)H_l - (l+1)H_{l+1}\langle Y, \nu\rangle]. \tag{27}$$

Integrating (27) over Σ gives (24). □

Next, we need a result concerning the positivity of the Newton transformation T_l in [2, Proposition 3.2].

Proposition 3 ([2]). *For a closed hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, if $\sigma_k > 0$ for some $1 \le k \le m$, then the quadratic form associated to T_l is positive definite for any $0 \le l < k$.*

Proof of Theorem 2. We first assume $O \notin \Sigma$. In this case, r is a smooth positive function when restricted to Σ. Choose $f = r^p$ in Proposition 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Sigma r^p \sigma_l d\mu = & \int_\Sigma r^p \sigma_{l+1} \langle Y, \nu\rangle d\mu \\ & - \frac{1}{(m-l)\binom{m}{l}} \int_\Sigma \langle T_l(\nabla r^p), Y^\parallel\rangle d\mu,\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where

$$\nabla r^p = p r^{p-1} \nabla r = p r^{p-2} Y^\parallel. \tag{29}$$

By Proposition 3,

$$\langle T_l(Y^\parallel), Y^\parallel\rangle \ge 0 \tag{30}$$

and $\langle T_l(Y^\parallel), Y^\parallel\rangle = 0$ if and only if $Y^\parallel = 0$. Thus it follows from (28), (29), (30) and the assumption $p \ge 0$ that

$$\int_\Sigma \sigma_l r^p d\mu \le \int_\Sigma \sigma_{l+1} r^{p+1} d\mu. \tag{31}$$

If $l+1 < k$, applying (31) repeatedly with (l, p) replaced by $(l+1, p+1)$, $\ldots$, $(k-1, p+k-l-1)$ respectively gives

$$\int_\Sigma \sigma_{l+1} r^{p+1} d\mu \le \int_\Sigma \sigma_{l+2} r^{p+2} d\mu \le \cdots \le \int_\Sigma \sigma_k r^{p+k-l} d\mu.$$

This proves the inequality (4). When the equality in (4) holds, the equality in (31) must hold, which implies $Y^\parallel = 0$. Hence Σ is a round sphere centered at O.

Next suppose $O \in \Sigma$. Let $B_\epsilon \subset \Sigma$ be a small geodesic ball with geodesic radius ϵ. Integrating (27) over $\Sigma \setminus B_\epsilon$ with $f = r^p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_\epsilon} r^p \sigma_l d\mu = & \int_{\partial B_\epsilon} r^p \langle T_l(Y^\parallel), n\rangle d\tau + \int_{\Sigma\setminus B_\epsilon} r^p \sigma_{l+1} \langle Y, \nu\rangle d\mu \\ & - \frac{1}{(m-l)\binom{m}{l}} \int_{\Sigma\setminus B_\epsilon} \langle T_l(\nabla r^p), Y^\parallel\rangle d\mu,\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where n is the inward unit normal to ∂B_ϵ in Σ and $d\tau$ is the volume form on ∂B_ϵ. It is clear that

$$\int_{\partial B_\epsilon} r^p \langle T_l(Y^{\|}), n\rangle d\tau \to 0, \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0.$$

Therefore (32) implies

$$\int_\Sigma r^p \sigma_l d\mu = \int_\Sigma r^p \sigma_{l+1} \langle Y, \nu\rangle d\mu - \frac{1}{(m-l)\binom{m}{l}} \int_{\Sigma\setminus\{O\}} \langle T_l(\nabla r^p), Y^{\|}\rangle d\mu,$$

from which the inequality (4) and its equality case follow as in the previous case. □

References

[1] H. Alencar and A G. Colares, *Integral formulas for the r-mean curvature linearized operator of a hypersurface*, Ann. Glob. Anal. and Geom., **16**, no. 3. (1998), 203–220.

[2] J.L. Barbosa and A.G. Colares, *Stability of hypersurfaces with constant r-mean curvature*, Ann. Glob. Anal. and Geom., **15** (1997), no. 3, 277–297.

[3] S. Brendle, P.-K. Hung, and M.-T. Wang, *A Minkowski-type inequality for hypersurfaces in the Anti-Desitter-Schwarzschild manifold*, arXiv:1209.0669.

[4] B-Y. Chen and K. Yano, *Integral formulas for submanifolds and their applications*, J. Diff. Geom., **5** (1971) : 467–477.

[5] C. Gerhardt, *Flow of nonconvex hypersurfaces into spheres*, J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), no. 1, 299–314.

[6] P. Guan and J. Li, *The quermassintegral inequalities for k-convex star-shaped domains*, Adv. Math., **221** (2009), 1725–1732.

[7] C.C. Hsiung, *Some integral formulas for closed hypersurfaces in Riemannian space*, Pac. J. Math. **6** (1956), no. 2, 291–299.

[8] G. Huisken and C. Sinestrari, *Convexity estimates for mean curvature flow and singularities of mean convex surfaces*, Acta Math., **183** (1999), 45–70.

[9] K. -K. Kwong, *An extension of Hsiung-Minkowski formulas and its applications*, arXiv:1307.3025.

[10] K. -K. Kwong and P. Miao, *A new monotone quantity along the inverse mean curvature flow in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, to appear in Pac. J. Math., arXiv:1212.1906.

[11] R.C. Reilly, *Variational properties of functions of the mean curvatures for hypersurfaces in space forms*, J. Differential Geom., **8** (1973), 465–477.

[12] R.C. Reilly, *On the Hessian of a function and the curvatures of its graph*, Michigan Math. J., 20:373–383, 1973.

[13] R.C. Reilly, *On the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact submanifolds of Euclidean space*, Comment. Math. Helv., **52** (1977), 525–533.

[14] A. Ros, *Compact Hypersurfaces with Constant Higher Order Mean Curvatures*, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, **3** (1987), no. 3, 447–453.

[15] W. Strübing, *On integral formulas for submanifolds of spaces of constant curvature and some applications*, Manuscripta Mathematica, **49** (1984), no. 2, 177–194.

[16] J.I.E. Urbas, *On the expansion of starshaped hypersurfaces by symmetric functions of their principal curvatures*, Math. Z. **205** (1990), no. 3, 355–372.

(Kwok-Kun Kwong) Department of Mathematics, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL 33146, USA.
E-mail address: kwong@@math.miami.edu

(Pengzi Miao) Department of Mathematics, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL 33146, USA.
E-mail address: pengzim@math.miami.edu